



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/049,271 | 02/06/2002 | Gabriel Daemon Engel | 7734 | | |
| 28581 7 | 590 09/20/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| DUANE MORRIS LLP PO BOX 5203 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5203 | | | VAUGHN, GREGORY J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2178 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/049,271 | ENGEL ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Gregory J. Vaughn | 2178 | | |

| | Gregory J. Vaugnn | 2178 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with ti | ne correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>31 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI | PPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) | , affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) | ater than SIX MONTHS from the m | ailing date of the final reject | ion. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of a two MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 is Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFF tension and the corresponding amoustories to the corresponding to the corresponding than three months after the mailing | t 1.136(a) and the appropria unt of the fee. The approprioriginally set in the final Off | ate extension fee riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in betom the control of the control | nsideration and/or search (see w); | NOTE below); (See ite | me 11, below) |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | | (PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | · | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | will not be entered, or b) | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10,13-22 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is necessar. | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a y and was not earlier presented | opeal and/or appellant fa . See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims aft | er entry is below or attac | ned. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application | on in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap | er No(s) | |
| 13. Other: | · | | |
| | | (1) Domines | onlease |
| | | WILLIAM BASH | ORÈ |
| | | 9/17/2009 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 50

... Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration or search. Applicant's arguments are substantially directed to said new issues.

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

9/11/205